## Clarendon Press Series

AN

# ETYMOLOGICAL. DICTIONARY

OF THE

### FRENCH LANGUAGE

CROWNED BY THE FRENCH ACADEMY ]

BY

#### A. BRÁCHET .

Author of 'A Historical Grammar of the French Tongue I rmusts Examiner and Professor at the Polytechnic School, Far Laurente of the Institute, etc

TRANSLATED BY

G. W. KITCHIN, M.A.

Second Edition

Oxford

AT THE CLARENDON PRESS

M DCCC LXXVIII

[ All rights reserved ]

## AUTHORS PREFACE.

This Etymological Dictionary is the natural sequel to my Hisorical Grammar. In that work I had traced out the history of French grammatical forms: that I might complete my task, and embrace the full cycle of the history of the language, I was bound o write also a history of its vocabulary. Accordingly, I have endeayoured in this volume to register for general use the results of philological enquiry, hitherto too much confined to a narrow circle of students.

It is not that philological enquiry has been lacking in France luring the last three centuries. In the anarchical period of philoogy—the period between the sixteenth century and our day, during vaich philology was little but a confused mass of erudite errors wo etymological Dictionaries were written, that of Ménage in 1650, nd that of Roquefort in 1829. Seven years after the appearance If the latter work the illustrious Frederick Diez published at Bonn he first volume of his Grammar of the Romance Languages (1836), comparative history of the six languages which have sprung from ne Latin, in which he showed by what invariable laws Latin became rench, Italian, Spanish, Portugese, Wallachian; and in so doing e created a scientific history of the French tongue. Thenceforth rench philology was revolutionised; and, just as in the eighteenth entury chemistry shook itself free from alchemy, so from this time ie study of the French language became a science based on observaon<sup>1</sup>, the progress of which was destined to be very rapid, under \* ne influence of a spirit of exact investigation: the latest born of sperimental sciences, it seemed likely to outstrip them all, except remistry, in the rapidity and unbroken succession of its discoveries. very new result is enrolled in its order in the three etymological ictionaries which followed one another at intervals: in 1853 Diez iblished his Etymologischer Wörterbuch; in 1862 appeared M. chéler's Dictionary of French Elymology; in 1863 the first parts M. Littre's admitable Dictionary of the French Tongue came out?.

This magnificent work was completed in 1873; and an Appendix to it published

1877.

<sup>1</sup> It is but fair to say that a Frenchman, M. Raynouard, had already prepared way by a comparative study of the six Neo-Latin tongues; still to M. Diez longs the honour of having created the science by introducing into French phiogy an exactitude quite unknown before his time.

These three works give us all the philological discoveries in the French language during the last thirty years; and the characteristic which separates them from the dreams of Mé age and Rodac's can only be compared to that which lies between the chemistry of